



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' AF response addresses and overcomes all rejections of the previous action. However a review of the formula in claim 1 show the optional double bond is not present. It was agreed to replace said formula with that appearing in original claim 1. Also, the examiner pointed out that 2 of the newly added substituents for R2 lack descriptive support in the disclosure as originally filed. While certain species may have a urea group or a methanesulfonyl on phenyl this is not enough to support generic claims. Mr. Hall authorized that "alkylsulfonyl" and "-NH-C(O)-NH2" be deleted from claim 1. Also noted to Mr. Hall were missing bonds in the formulas of claims 29 and 36. In view of these needed changes Mr. Hall will be filing a supplemental Examiner's Amendment (via Rightfax) to address these issues. Also a new claim was discussed which would mirror the scope of R2 described in the specification on p.13, par.[47] which would be an independent claim and not objected to by the examiner..